AM	TENDMENT NO Calendar No
Pu	rpose: In the nature of a substitute.
IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES—117th Cong., 2d Sess.	
	S.
То	improve the visibility, accountability, and oversight of agency software asset management practices, and for other purposes.
R	eferred to the Committee on and ordered to be printed
	Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed
A	MENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE intended to be proposed by Mr. Peters
Viz	:
1	Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the fol-
2	lowing:
3	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
4	This Act may be cited as the "Strengthening Agency
5	Management and Oversight of Software Assets Act".
6	SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.
7	In this Act:
8	(1) Administrator.—The term "Adminis-
9	trator" means the Administrator of General Serv-
10	ices.

1	(2) AGENCY.—The term "agency" has the
2	meaning given the term "establishment" in section
3	12 of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C.
4	App.).
5	(3) CLOUD COMPUTING.—The term "cloud
6	computing" has the meaning given the term in Spe-
7	cial Publication 800–145 of the National Institute of
8	Standards and Technology, or any successor docu-
9	ment.
10	(4) CLOUD SERVICE PROVIDER.—The term
11	"cloud service provider" means an entity offering
12	cloud computing products or services to agencies.
13	(5) Comprehensive assessment.—The term
14	"comprehensive assessment" means a comprehensive
15	assessment conducted pursuant to section 3(a).
16	(6) Director.—The term "Director" means
17	the Director of the Office of Management and Budg-
18	et.
19	(7) Plan.—The term "plan" means the plan
20	developed by a Chief Information Officer, or equiva-
21	lent official, pursuant to section 4(a).
22	(8) Software entitlement.—The term
23	"software entitlement" means any software that—

1	(A) has been purchased, leased, or licensed
2	by or billed to an agency under any contract or
3	other business arrangement; and
4	(B) is subject to use limitations.
5	(9) Software inventory.—The term "soft-
6	ware inventory" means the software inventory of an
7	agency required pursuant to—
8	(A) section 2(b)(2)(A) of the Making Elec-
9	tronic Government Accountable By Yielding
10	Tangible Efficiencies Act of 2016 (40 U.S.C.
11	11302 note; Public Law 114–210); or
12	(B) subsequent guidance issued by the Di-
13	rector of the Office of Management and Budget
14	pursuant to that Act.
15	SEC. 3. SOFTWARE ENTITLEMENT AND INVENTORY INTEG-
1516	SEC. 3. SOFTWARE ENTITLEMENT AND INVENTORY INTEGRITY.
16 17	RITY.
161718	RITY. (a) In General.—As soon as practicable, and not
16 17 18 19	RITY. (a) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable, and not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act,
16 17 18 19	RITY. (a) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable, and not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chief Information Officer of each agency, in consulta-
16 17 18 19 20	RITY. (a) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable, and not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chief Information Officer of each agency, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Procure-
16 17 18 19 20 21	RITY. (a) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable, and not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chief Information Officer of each agency, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Procurement Officer, and General Counsel of the agency, or the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RITY. (a) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable, and not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Chief Information Officer of each agency, in consultation with the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Procurement Officer, and General Counsel of the agency, or the equivalent officials of the agency, shall complete a com-

1	(1) the current software inventory of the agen-
2	cy, including software entitlements, contracts and
3	other agreements or arrangements of the agency,
4	and a list of the largest software entitlements of the
5	agency separated by vendor and category of soft-
6	ware;
7	(2) a comprehensive, detailed accounting of—
8	(A) any software deployed for the agency
9	as of the date of the comprehensive assessment,
10	including, to the extent identifiable, the con-
11	tracts and other agreements or arrangements
12	that the agency uses to acquire, deploy, or use
13	such software;
14	(B) information and data on software enti-
15	tlements, which shall include information on
16	any additional fees or costs for the use of cloud
17	services that is not included in the initial costs
18	of the contract, agreement, or arrangement—
19	(i) for which the agency pays;
20	(ii) that are not deployed or in use by
21	the agency; and
22	(iii) that are billed to the agency
23	under any contract or business arrange-
24	ment that creates redundancy in the de-
25	ployment or use by the agency; and

1	(C) the extent—
2	(i) to which any software paid for, in
3	use, or deployed throughout the agency is
4	interoperable; and
5	(ii) of the efforts of the agency to im-
6	prove interoperability of software assets
7	throughout the agency enterprise;
8	(3) a categorization of software licenses of the
9	agency by cost, volume, and type of software;
10	(4) a list of any provisions in the software li-
11	censes of the agency that may restrict how the soft-
12	ware can be deployed, accessed, or used, including
13	any such restrictions on desktop or server hardware
14	or through a cloud service provider; and
15	(5) an analysis addressing—
16	(A) the accuracy and completeness of the
17	software inventory and software entitlements of
18	the agency before and after the comprehensive
19	assessment;
20	(B) management by the agency of and
21	compliance by the agency with all contracts or
22	other agreements or arrangements that include
23	or implicate software licensing or software man-
24	agement within the agency;

1	(C) the extent to which the agency accu-
2	rately captures the total cost of enterprise li-
3	censes agreements and related costs, including
4	the total cost of upgrades over the life of a con-
5	tract, cloud usage cost per user, and any other
6	cost associated with the maintenance or serv-
7	icing of contracts; and
8	(D) compliance with software license man-
9	agement policies of the agency.
10	(b) Contract Support.—
11	(1) AUTHORITY.—The head of an agency may
12	enter into 1 or more contracts to support the re-
13	quirements of subsection (a).
14	(2) No conflict of interest.—Contracts
15	under paragraph (1) shall not include contractors
16	with organization conflicts of interest.
17	(3) Operational independence.—Over the
18	course of a comprehensive assessment, contractors
19	hired pursuant to paragraph (1) shall maintain oper-
20	ational independence from the integration, manage-
21	ment, and operations of the software inventory and
22	software entitlements of the agency.
23	(c) Submission.—On the date on which the Chief In-
24	formation Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Procure-
25	ment Officer, and General Counsel of an agency, or the

equivalent officials of the agency, complete the comprehen-2 sive assessment, and not later than 1 year after the date 3 of enactment of this Act, the Chief Information Officer 4 shall submit the comprehensive assessment to— 5 (1) the head of the agency; 6 (2) the Director; 7 (3) the Administrator; 8 (4) the Comptroller General of the United 9 States; 10 (5) the Committee on Homeland Security and 11 Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and 12 (6) the Committee on Oversight and Reform of 13 the House of Representatives. 14 (d) Consultation.—In order to ensure the utility 15 and standardization of the comprehensive assessment of each agency, including to support the development of each 16 17 plan and the Government-wide strategy described in section 5, the Director, in consultation with the Adminis-18 19 trator, may share information, best practices, and rec-20 ommendations relating to the activities performed in the 21 course of a comprehensive assessment of an agency. 22 SEC. 4. ENTERPRISE LICENSING POSITIONING AT AGEN-23 CIES. 24 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Chief Information Officer of 25 each agency, in consultation with the Chief Financial Offi-

1	cer and the Chief Procurement Officer of the agency, or
2	the equivalent officials of the agency, shall use the infor-
3	mation developed pursuant to the comprehensive assess-
4	ment of the agency under section 3(a) to develop a plan
5	for the agency—
6	(1) to consolidate software licenses of the agen-
7	cy; and
8	(2) to the greatest extent practicable, in order
9	to improve the performance of, or reduce unneces-
10	sary costs to, the agency, to adopt enterprise license
11	agreements across the agency, by type or category of
12	software.
13	(b) Plan Requirements.—The plan of an agency
14	shall—
15	(1) include a detailed strategy for—
16	(A) the remediation of any software asset
17	management deficiencies found during the com-
18	prehensive assessment of the agency;
19	(B) the ongoing maintenance of software
20	asset management upon the completion of the
21	remediation; and
22	(C) maximizing the effectiveness of soft-
23	ware deployed by the agency, including, to the
24	extent practicable, leveraging technologies
25	that—

1	(i) provide in-depth analysis of user
2	behaviors and collect user feedback;
3	(ii) measure actual software usage via
4	analytics that can identify inefficiencies to
5	assist in rationalizing software spending;
6	(iii) allow for segmentation of the user
7	base;
8	(iv) support effective governance and
9	compliance in the use of software; and
10	(v) support interoperable capabilities
11	between software;
12	(2) identify not fewer than 5 categories of soft-
13	ware the agency will prioritize for conversion to en-
14	terprise licenses as the software entitlements, con-
15	tracts, and other agreements or arrangements for
16	those categories come up for renewal or renegoti-
17	ation;
18	(3) provide an estimate of the costs to move to
19	enterprise, open-source, or other licenses that do not
20	restrict the use of software by the agency, and any
21	projected cost savings or efficiency measures
22	throughout the total software lifecycle;
23	(4) identify potential mitigations to minimize
24	software license restrictions on how such software
25	can be deployed, accessed, or used, including any

1 mitigations that would minimize any such restric-2 tions on desktop or server hardware or through a 3 cloud service provider; 4 (5) include any estimates for additional re-5 sources, services, or support the agency may need to 6 execute the enterprise licensing position plan; 7 (6) provide information on the prevalence of 8 software products in use across multiple software 9 categories; and 10 (7) include any additional information, data, or 11 analysis determined necessary by the Chief Informa-12 tion Officer, or other equivalent official, of the agen-13 cy. 14 (c) Support.—The Chief Information Officer, or 15 other equivalent official, of an agency may request support from the Director and the Administrator for any analysis 16 17 or developmental needs to create the plan of the agency. 18 (d) Submission.—Not later than 120 days after the date on which the Chief Information Officer, or other 19 20 equivalent official, of an agency submits the comprehen-21 sive assessment pursuant to section 3(c), the head of the 22 agency shall submit to the Director, the Committee on 23 Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and the Committee on Oversight and Reform of the House of Representatives the plan of the agency.

1 SEC. 5. GOVERNMENT-WIDE STRATEGY.

2	(a) In General.—Not later than 2 years after the
3	date of enactment of this Act, the Director, in consultation
4	with the Administrator and the Federal Chief Information
5	Officers Council, shall submit to the Committee on Home-
6	land Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and
7	the Committee on Oversight and Reform of the House of
8	Representatives a strategy that includes—
9	(1) proposals to support the adoption of Gov-
10	ernment-wide enterprise licenses on the most widely
11	used and most costly software entitlements identified
12	through the comprehensive assessment and plans,
13	including, where appropriate, a cost-benefit analysis;
14	(2) opportunities to leverage Government pro-
15	curement policies and practices to increase inter-
16	operability of software entitlements acquired and de-
17	ployed to reduce costs and improve performance;
18	(3) the incorporation of data on spending by
19	agencies on, the performance of, and management
20	by agencies of software entitlements as part of the
21	information required under section 11302(c)(3)(B)
22	of title 40, United States Code;
23	(4) where applicable, directions to agencies to
24	examine options and relevant criteria for
25	transitioning to open-source software; and

1	(5) any other information or data collected or
2	analyzed by the Director.
3	(b) Budget Submission.—
4	(1) First budget.—With respect to the first
5	budget of the President submitted under section
6	1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, on or after
7	the date that is 2 years after the date of enactment
8	of this Act, the Director shall ensure that the strat-
9	egy required under subsection (a) of this section and
10	the plan of each agency are included in the budget
11	justification materials of each agency submitted in
12	conjunction with that budget.
13	(2) Subsequent 5 budgets.—With respect to
14	the first 5 budgets of the President submitted under
15	section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code,
16	after the budget described in paragraph (1), the Di-
17	rector shall—
18	(A) designate performance metrics for
19	agencies for common software licensing, man-
20	agement, and cost criteria; and
21	(B) ensure that the progress of each agen-
22	cy toward the performance metrics is included
23	in the budget justification materials of the
24	agency submitted in conjunction with that
25	budget.

1 SEC. 6. GAO REPORT.

- 2 Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment
- 3 of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States
- 4 shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and
- 5 Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee
- 6 on Oversight and Reform of the House of Representatives
- 7 a report on Government-wide trends, comparisons among
- 8 agencies, and other analyses of plans and the strategy re-
- 9 quired under section 5(a) by the Comptroller General of
- 10 the United States.